

**Citizens Electoral Council of Australia conference
 “The World Land-Bridge: Peace on Earth, Good Will towards All Men”,
 28-29 March 2015, Melbourne, Australia**

From Panel 3 World War III, or a New Global Renaissance?

Who Is Sponsoring International Terrorism?

Glen Isherwood, CEC Researcher

On 23 September of last year, the Citizens Electoral Council issued a media release entitled [Is] “British SIS/ASIO Planning a Terrorist Attack on Australia?” The release began like this:

“As things presently stand, a near-term terrorist attack upon Australian soil is almost guaranteed. Why? Is it because there are so many terrorists out there, whether home-grown or returning from Iraq, Syria, Afghanistan or elsewhere, such that at least “one lone wolf” is bound to “slip through”? No! It is because the British Crown and the City of London, which control the British and Australian intelligence services, *intend* for such an attack to occur. And, right on cue, legislation now pending in the Australian parliament will grant virtual immunity to any Australian officials who orchestrate or participate in such an attack.”

Less than three months later, on December 15th, the so-called Sydney Siege erupted. Three people were killed and four wounded. We have published some crucial facts which show that the Sydney crime was orchestrated by British and Australian intelligence agencies, and we will publishing more evidence of that soon.

How could we forecast a so-called “terrorist attack” with such certainty? It’s because we understood the global strategic situation, pivoted upon the certainty of a new, far worse Global Financial Crisis, and because we knew the nature of the entity whose very existence would be called into question by that new outbreak of the financial crisis: namely the British Empire.

You may ask, “What British Empire? Didn’t it go out of existence long ago?” That’s what you’ve been told, particularly since the end of World War II. But, take a closer look. Redcoats may have gone out of fashion, and the old-style British Navy gunboats may not sail the seas, but the British imperialists themselves, and the Queen herself on one occasion back in the 1970s, have sometimes quietly let slip that the idea of “indirect rule” is much more effective, and much less likely than the redcoats and gunboats to arouse opposition.

In 1995 the Royal Institute of International Affairs (also known as Chatham House), issued a report titled “Economic Opportunities for Britain and the Commonwealth”. It identified “an informal financial empire” as the new form of imperial rule. Chatham House also specified Australia’s intended place within that empire, as the bridgehead and staging ground for British control of Asia. The dumb American collaborators of this British imperial policy are more into the limelight with the old methods of military domination, such as in the so-called Asia Pivot of British stooge Obama. In yesterday’s discussion, Helga Zepp-LaRouche noted that Australia’s assigned role in the Asia Pivot scheme is that of a “giant aircraft-carrier for war with China”.

In today’s “informal financial empire”, the British Crown sits at its apex, along with about 200 old oligarchical families, as even academic studies of the British elite document. There are a lot of hangers-on, who have been dubbed Knights of the

Garter, members of the Order of the British Empire, Knights Commanders of St. Michael and St. George, and so forth. The British intelligence agencies report to the Crown, which also rules through the City of London and Wall Street, along with such fronts as the IMF and World Bank, the European Central Bank.

Remember what even the standard history books recount about the mass genocide committed by the British Empire in the 19th century, which was only yesterday, in historical terms: Tens of millions of people killed by systematic starvation in India; millions killed in Ireland by the forcible export of food, even during the Potato Famine; and millions of Chinese killed or poisoned during the Opium Wars.

Today the “informal financial empire” is doing the same thing! Look at the spiralling death rates, even in Europe, under the brutal rule of the European Union—an institution engineered by British imperial, City of London interests. Look at the death rates in Africa and large parts of the rest of the so-called “developing sector”.

The Crown itself states that such effects are desirable. Prince Philip has openly proclaimed, for example to the German Press Agency in 1988: “In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.” And Queen Elizabeth herself knighted the infamous John Schellnhuber, head of the Potsdam Institute on Climate Impact, who proclaims that the world can only support 1 to 2 billion human beings at most. Remember that Prince Phillip’s World Wildlife Fund was initially organised by two of the most notorious, outspoken advocates of the racial purity doctrine called eugenics, in the entire 20th century. They were Privy Council Secretary Max Nicholson, and Sir Julian Huxley, who as the head of UNESCO head after World War II, stated openly that the green movement’s goal was to “promote eugenics by other means”, given that Hitler had given the term “eugenics” a bad name.

The British imperialist promotion of mass genocide has always involved religious and other warfare to make sure the victims keep fighting each other, the creation and sponsorship of horrific cults like the Islamic State today, and assassinations of leaders who pose an obstacle to their rule.

The myth says, “Sure, the British did those naughty things in the past, but that is ancient history. Surely Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II would never even dream of doing such things!”

Oh, really? Let me give you an example close to home, the case of Gough Whitlam. Many years ago, we documented that Prime Minister Whitlam was sacked in 1975 at the express direction of Queen Elizabeth, acting through that notorious toady, Sir John Kerr, a long-time MI6 agent and Royal sycophant. Since the time of our first publication of that exposé, in the pamphlet called “The Fight for an Australian Republic”, much more has been released from official records and other accounts, demonstrating beyond the shadow of a doubt that the Queen herself coordinated the entire affair.

What was the issue? Whitlam and his allies like Treasury Secretary Jim Cairns, and Minerals and Energy Minister Rex Connor, had grand plans to “buy back the farm” from the Queen’s multinationals. (Remember, Elizabeth, at least until recently, was the largest private shareholder in Rio Tinto.) They intended to construct great infrastructure projects to really develop our continent.

Because we are members of the British Commonwealth, it didn’t take three shooters up on a grassy knoll to get rid of Whitlam’s upstart leadership. Under our easily destabilised parliamentary system, the Queen could direct Whitlam’s

assassination, so to speak, through judicial means. Does that sound shocking? Compare what Whitlam and what old Labor had planned for this country, with the free-trade, deregulated, privatised nightmare it became after his ouster, except for positive elements during the interregnum under Malcolm Fraser, as Robbie reported yesterday. Is this not identical to what happened to the change in direction forced upon the United States after the assassination of President John F. Kennedy?

This background is vital to understand, because the greatest obstacle to the answer to the question in the title of my report, “Who is sponsoring international terrorism?”, is wilful blindness on the nature of the British Crown. If you think that the Crown is a powerless relic of the old days, and that Her Majesty is really a kind-hearted person, even if her husband is admittedly a rabid racist and her son Charles is a fruit loop who talks to plants, you won’t get it.

With that said, and bearing in mind what Jeff has just told you about the orchestration of 9/11 and its cover-up, let me introduce a person who I think deserves to be called the Chief Executive Officer of today’s international terrorism. One would be hard put to name another single individual who has done more than he, over the past three decades, to create, sponsor and protect international terrorism.

This biography came out early this year. The *New Citizen* feature on Charles of Arabia and his ties to Saudi Prince Bandar, Prince Turki and other kingpins of Al-Qaeda and ISIS terrorism, and reprints of the two-part exposé of Charles’s sponsorship of Islamic terrorism, published last year by our colleagues William Wertz and Richard Freeman of *EIR* in the United States, were already circulating in the UK before then. London-based author Catherine Mayer felt compelled to protest, in the book, the charges levelled against Charles by *EIR*, which she footnoted explicitly.

Let’s look at just some of the evidence. Jeff has given you an overview of 9/11. In 2003, lawyers for families of 9/11 victims went to the UK to track down the activities of some of these individuals. The 2005 book *Saudi Babylon: Torture, Corruption and Cover-up inside the House of Saud*, by investigative reporter Mark Hollingsworth, and Sandy Mitchell, a British citizen imprisoned and tortured in Saudi Arabia, tells what happened. Hollingsworth and Mitchell report on the meeting between the 9/11 family lawyers and top officials of Scotland Yard.

“Prince Charles's relationships with prominent House of Saud members have created serious problems and obstacles to UK agencies investigating claims of Saudi financing of international terrorism, according to Special Branch sources. The delicacy and sensitivity of Prince Charles's friendships was raised during a meeting at New Scotland Yard in April 2003. Families of the victims of 9/11 had filed a lawsuit accusing some members of the House of Saud, notably defence minister Prince Sultan and the new UK ambassador, Prince Turki, of supporting Al-Qaeda in the past. Their lawyers were in Europe investigating allegations that senior Saudi royals had backed Islamic charities, run by the government, which funded the 9/11 hijackers.

“The meeting at New Scotland Yard was attended by detective chief inspector Stephen Ratcliffe, the Special Branch officer in charge of tracking terrorism financing; Peter Clarke, national director of countering terrorist funding; Robert Randall, a police liaison officer; and [the visiting] lawyers. Alan Gerson, a lawyer for the 9/11 relatives, outlined their case and said that the Saudi royal family were put on notice in 1999 by U.S. National Security Council (NSC) officials in

Riyadh that funds for Al-Qaeda came from Saudi. ‘There were similar warnings to the Saudis in London as well,’ said Ratcliffe, ‘although some of our regulatory agencies were not always up to scratch in tracing the money.’

“‘Well, have the UK authorities uncovered anything to show that charities run by some members of the Saudi royal family were channelling money to the terrorists?’ asked Gerson.

“Ratcliffe looked hesitant and a little sheepish. ‘Our ability to investigate the Saudis is very limited,’ he said. He then paused, looked across at a photograph of Prince Charles on the wall, raised his eyebrows and smiled knowingly without saying a word. ‘He did not say anything but the message was crystal clear when he looked at the picture,’ said a police officer who was present. ‘It was Prince Charles’s special relationship with the Saudis which was a problem. He gave no other reason why they were restricted.’”

The Anglo-Saudi arms deal called Al-Yamamah was set up between Prince Bandar and Margaret Thatcher in 1985. Successive phases of the deal were negotiated over the ensuing decades, right down until today. Prince Charles personally was a key figure in those successive phases, working on it during his 12 official visits to Saudi Arabia and other visits, termed “private”, to Saudi Arabia, to Qatar (the other main financier of ISIS), and other Gulf states. Wertz and Freeman documented Charles’s role as the Queen’s liaison to the Saudis for decades.

According to Bandar’s biographer, Charles has a deep going “fascination with Islam”. If you look at it more closely, you see that his special fascination is with the bloodiest and most evil sect of Islam, called Wahhabism. This is the official religion of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Wahhabism which preaches that its adherents have a religious duty to kill nonbelievers, whether Christians, Jews, or members of Shiite and other non-Wahhabite tendencies of Islam.

In the early 1990s Charles persuaded King Fahd to contribute tens of millions of dollars to building mosques across the UK. Several of these became training grounds and launch pads for international terrorism.

In the same early 1990s period, Charles became the official Patron of the Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies, or OCIS. The Saudis, Qataris, and other Gulf states have contributed somewhere around 100 million pounds to OCIS. Here are the leading figures in the Centre, often called “Charles’s OCIS”. Virtually every one of them has played a leading role in organising or financing international terrorism.

In the centre is Charles during a Feb. 2014 visit to Saudi Arabia, when he performed a sword dance with members of the Saudi royal family. Surrounding him are board members and financial backers of the Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies, including members of the Faisal and Bin Laden families, who have contributed to it. Each of the Persian Gulf figures pictured here is also implicated in financing or advocating terrorism.

Going from top to bottom, starting with the four on the left side, you see:

1. Prince Bandar bin Sultan. His biographer calls his friendship with Charles “close”. He was a wedding guest of Charles and Camilla. In 1990, Bandar contributed an estimated \$US13-24.4 million to the OCIS. At Bandar’s behest, then-King Fahd pledged a \$32.4 million donation from the Kingdom; Bandar announced the gift at a 1997 private dinner with Charles. Former Saudi Ambassador to Washington and

Intelligence chief, Bandar heads the Saudi Security Council. He and his wife have been implicated in funding the 9/11 attackers. He also arranged the first Al-Yamamah oil-for-arms contract with PM Margaret Thatcher in 1985, an ongoing deal in which the Prince of Wales later took a hand.

2. Prince Turki bin Faisal is a member of the Board of Trustees of the OCIS and chairs its Strategy Advisory Committee. Another of Charles's wedding guests, he resigned as director of Saudi General Intelligence (1979-2001) ten days before 9/11. Turki financed and organised the rise of al-Qaeda in the 1980s.

3. Prince Mohammed bin Faisal, is another member of the Faisal clan, which has sponsored the OCIS. A full brother of Turki, he heads the Dar Al-Mall Al-Islami Trust (DMI) banking group, which financed al-Qaeda, according to a 2002 report to the UN Security Council. Mohammed was named in a 9/11 family-member lawsuit.

4. Prince Abdulaziz bin Abdullah, deputy foreign minister of Saudi Arabia, is chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Centennial Fund, established by Charles in 2004. He is an expert on Syria, where Saudi financing of the radical Sunni opposition groups, out of which ISIS emerged, is notorious.

On the right side, again top to bottom:

5. Abdullah Omar Naseef co-founded the OCIS and chairs its Board of Trustees. He was Secretary General of the Muslim World League (MWL) in 1983-93, at the height of Anglo-American backing for the Afghan mujahedin against the Soviet Union. King Faisal had set up the MWL in 1962 to coordinate Wahhabite propaganda and subversive activity. The MWL spawned significant parts of today's global jihadi apparatus. Naseef also chaired the Pakistan-based Rabita Trust, an MWL financial project. In the 1980s, Naseef co-created Maktab al-Khidamat, the backbone organisation of the Arab-Afghani mujahedin in Afghanistan, which in 1989 changed its name to al-Qaeda. According to the 9/11 families' suit, Naseef knowingly funded al-Qaeda through the MWL, Rabita, and the International Islamic Relief Organization (IIRO).

6. Yusuf al-Qaradawi was formerly a board member of Charles's OCIS. This Qatar-based spiritual leader of the Muslim Brotherhood issued fatwas for the overthrow and assassination of Libyan head of state Muammar Qaddafi and Syrian President Bashar al-Assad. In July 2012, he threatened the assassination of Egyptian leader Gen. Abdel Fattah al-Sisi, now President.

7. Abul-Hasan Ali al-Nadwi. Co-founder and first chairman of the OCIS, Nadwi had been a founding board member of the Muslim World League back in 1962.

8. The Bin Laden family (shown here, Mohammed bin Laden, Osama's father) was among the Saudi, Qatari, and Kuwaiti private donors of approximately \$US70 million to Charles' OCIS. Osama bin Laden was recruited by Charles's friend and wedding guest, Prince Turki bin Faisal, to set up the Maktab al-Khidamat network, the future al-Qaeda. His financial network included dozens of City of London banks and corporations, according to a 2001 French parliamentary investigation.

We lack time today for reviewing the history of the creation of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from go to woe by the British Empire. Suffice it to know that "modern Saudi Arabia", if you can call it "modern", is a fusion of two distinct entities. One is the ruling, westernised and generally corrupt 7000 to 9000 princes, all

descended from a warlord named Abdulaziz Ibn Saud (1876-1953), and his 22 official wives and countless concubines.

The second element is the Wahhabite ideology. In the 18th century, Ibn Saud's ancestor had allied the family with the fanatical founder of the Wahhabist ideology of death to all non-believers. The British sponsored this alliance then and thereafter, down until today. In 1922, then-Secretary of State for the Colonies Winston Churchill put Ibn Saud on the payroll at 100,000 pounds a year, later writing that, "my admiration for him was deep, because of his unflinching loyalty to us".

In 1927, Ibn Saud by treaty with Britain ceded control over the emerging state's foreign policy, to Britain. Meanwhile, the King struck a pact with the Al ash-Sheikh, clan, descendants of the founder of Wahhabism, giving them the power to administer and oversee religion and law in the Kingdom. This alliance remains in effect. The powerful Saudi Ministry of Religious Affairs is the de facto headquarters of the Wahhabites in Saudi Arabia, while pouring billions of dollars, through so-called charities and other religious institutions, into establishing Wahhabist schools worldwide.

The Saudi Ministry of Religious Affairs set up such schools, called madrassas, all over the Middle East, South Asia, the Caucasus, the Balkans and elsewhere. They have done the same thing in Britain itself, with the patronage of Prince Charles, by building all those mosques. Not every mosque became a terrorist centre, of course, and even those which did, have been partially reclaimed as actual centres of religion. The process is complex. Indeed, one of the things about which there is great concern in Britain, is the degree of "Islamophobia" unleashed in the UK, because of the protection and sponsorship of these terrorist training mosques by Charles, and by MI5 and MI6, agencies of which, by the way, he is also the official Patron.

Let me show you the prime example of how this has worked, the infamous Finsbury Park mosque in North London.

The key figure was Abu Hamza Al Masri. Born in Egypt, he became a UK citizen in 1986. In 1993, he went to live overseas in Afghanistan, and while there he got blown up whilst training with mujahedin forces. He lost his hands and one eye in the explosion, and consequently returned to London, becoming a preacher and recruiter of young Muslims to violent jihad. As a result of his reputation as a good orator, and because he offered to work for free, he was hired to preach at the Finsbury Park mosque in 1997, by the management committee of the Mosque. Once he got in however, he took it over, throwing out the existing Imams by force using thugs, many of whom had come to him after serving overseas as jihadists in Algeria with the GIA, or in Afghanistan with the mujahedin, etc.

On dozens of occasions, the management committee pursued legal avenues to remove Hamza from the Mosque, and asked the police to intervene to remove Hamza. Hamza was not touched. Hamza boasted that his activity was sanctioned by the British Government and by MI5.

Hamza used the Finsbury Park Mosque as a recruitment centre for hundreds upon hundreds of young British Muslims, twisting them into becoming suicide bombers and foreign jihadists. His hate-filled disciples can be found all over the world. From 1997 to 2006, Hamza established the infrastructure in the UK that helped finance and prepare jihadists in North London, helping them get out of the country across to Pakistan for training, and in several cases, helped these young recruits to get to places like Yemen, and Israel to carry out terrorist attacks or suicide bombings. The Mosque itself became a training facility, not just preaching jihad, but also stockpiling

weapons and becoming a local centre for organised crime. Hamza was arrested and jailed in the UK in 2005, and in 2012 he was extradited to the United States, where he is serving a life sentence for his role in inciting terrorism.

His network has been implicated in dozens of attacks, including 9/11, the 7 July 2005 London subway bombings known as “7/7”, which killed 52 people and wounded another 700, and more recently the Charlie Hebdo massacre in Paris, carried out by disciples of one of Abu Hamza’s closest associates.

Did the Intelligence Agencies, MI5, MI6, etc. really not know what was going on? Of course they did!

Abu Hamza himself testified in a U.S. court, that he had been working for MI5.

EIR has documented this long ago, well before 9/11. On 21 January 2000, an *EIR* memorandum addressed to U.S. then-Secretary of State Madeline Albright, titled "Put Britain on the List of State's Sponsoring Terrorism", pointed not only to Hamza, as a terrorist operating from inside Britain, but also to others, such as Omar Bakri Mohammed, who, from London, started calling on jihadists to begin terrorist attacks on US targets in 1998. The *EIR* memorandum documented cases where 11 nations had protested the UK's protection of terrorists who were using London as their headquarters for global operations.

In Britain, the arrangement between the Security Services on the one side, and radical jihadists and terrorist supporters on the other, even has a name, at least in security circles. It is called “The covenant of security”. Mark Curtis, author of the book, *Secret Affairs: Britain's Collusion with Radical Islam*, writes about this agreement:

“Abu Hamza, the former imam at the Finsbury Park Mosque, said at his trial at the Old Bailey that he believed a deal operated whereby his activities would be tolerated as long as they targeted only foreign soil. He recalled how Scotland Yard’s intelligence wing, the Special Branch, assured him that ‘you don’t have anything to worry about as long as we don’t see blood on the streets’. ... In August of the same year, Omar Bakri Mohammed, who had established the militant al-Muhajiroun organisation, described how ‘I work here in accordance with the covenant of peace which I made with the British government when I got [political] asylum.’ Nine months later, he said in a further interview that ‘the British government knows who we are. MI5 has interrogated us many times. I think now we have something called public immunity.’”

Sean O’Neill’s book *The Suicide Factory* mentions another account of this covenant:

“The clerics all claimed that Islamist radicals felt safe in London, as they were protected by what they called the ‘covenant of security’. This, they explained, was a deal whereby if extremist groups pledged not to stage attacks or cause disruption in the UK, the police and intelligence agencies left them alone.”

That era when British intelligence benevolently oversaw the training of hundreds and thousands of terrorists, as long as they didn’t carry out attacks on British soil, has been over for some time. Now, former MI6 head John Sawers bemoans that there are “several thousand” terrorists in the UK, ready to launch “a ghastly 7/7-style attack”—referring to the London subway bombings—at any time.

That, of course, is just what the Crown needs, to establish a full police state in Britain—parallel to what is being worked on in Australia.

Ever since the Snowden revelations that the electronic spy centres of the U.S. National Security Agency and the British Cheltenham GCHQ, and their Five Eyes cousins in Australia and New Zealand, monitor virtually every single individual in those countries, it is no longer credible for MI5 and others to claim that, “We didn’t know anything” about large scale terror attacks before they happen. Lo and behold, MI5, ASIO and their cousins inform us that there are countless “lone wolves” out there, who are part of no network that could be surveilled, and whose attacks therefore can not be stopped. Man Haron Monis of the Sydney Siege was just such a lone wolf, if you believe ASIO, the only problem being that ASIO had had intimate, if complicated, relations with Monis for a long time, as they do with all of these so-called “lone wolves”. Among other things, Monis felt himself abused and harassed by ASIO.

As you heard yesterday, the May 7 elections in Britain have the potential to be a watershed, both for Britain and for the world, if they result in a Labour Party/Scottish National Party coalition committed to Glass-Steagall, to ending Britain’s nuclear tripwire in the Trident program, and to ditching the Thatcher/Blair/Cameron policies of brutal austerity. So, what would you like to bet, that the period between now and then will see terrorist attacks in Britain, which would benefit the incumbent, City of London, utterly Crown-dominated Conservative government of David Cameron?

To forestall that, and to give our poor British cousins—and ourselves!—a fighting chance of electing a decent government committed to Glass-Steagall, national banking, and an alliance with the BRICS, it is a high priority to put Charles in the dock. And that begins with exposing his role far and wide, as we are doing here today, and will continue to do.